arXiv:1409.0679v2 [math.FA] 31 Jul 2015

On the boundedness of singular integrals in Morrey spaces and its preduals

Marcel Rosenthal & Hans-Jürgen Schmeisser
Universität Jena, Mathematisches Institut
Ernst-Abbe-Platz 2, 07743 Jena, Germany
email: marcel.rosenthal@uni-jena.de · mhj@uni-jena.de

August 3, 2015

Abstract

We reduce the boundedness of operators in Morrey spaces $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, its preduals, $H^\varrho L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and their preduals $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the boundedness of the appropriate operators in Lebesgue spaces, $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hereby, we need a weak condition with respect to the operators which is satisfied for a large set of classical operators of harmonic analysis including singular integral operators and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. The given vector-valued consideration of these issues is a key ingredient for various applications in harmonic analysis.

Keywords: singular integral operators, Calderón-Zygmund operators, Morrey spaces, predual Morrey spaces
Math Subject Classifications: Primary 42B35, 46E30, 42B15, 42B20; Secondary 42B25.

1 Introduction

Let $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the completion of $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\left\|f|L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\| = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\sup_{R>0} R^{-\left(\frac{n}{p}+r\right)} \left\|f|L_p(B_R(x))\right\|, 1<p<\infty, -\frac{n}{p}\le r<0.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)'' \cong (H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n))' \cong L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{1}$$

where the second duality assertion is due to [Zor86, Kal98, AX04, GM13, RT14] and the first assertion is observed by [AX12] and proved by [RT14]. Roughly speaking in this paper we prove that the $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness of an operator T satisfying the condition

$$|(Tf)(y)| \le c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(z)|}{|y-z|^n} \mathrm{d}z \quad \text{for all } f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } y \notin \operatorname{supp}(f), \tag{2}$$

implies its boundedness in $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefrom, under some additional conditions with respect to T we get also the boundedness of T in $H^\varrho L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by (1) and duality arguments. Our paper can be considered as an extension of the new approach given in [RT13] and [RT14] to a wider class of operators and to the vector-valued situation. Let us mention that the extension of operators of this type and related norm estimates have to be treated with greater care than in many related papers investigating mapping properties of operators in $L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We refer to Remark 4.5 for the relation of our paper to the existing literature. In particular, we cannot expect an unique extension to Morrey spaces $L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the contrary it turned out that there are infinitely many possible extension operators (cf. [RT14, Remark 5.3]). Let us also mention that the vector-valued situation under consideration is crucial having in mind applications as a Michlin-Hörmander type theorem (and hence applications to Navier-Stokes equations cf. [Tri13] and [RT13, Remark 4.3]), Littlewood-Paley theory for Morrey spaces and its preduals as well as for Lizorkin representations of Triebel-Lizorkin-Morrey spaces. The given results are partially contained in [Ros13]. Condition (2) is due to Soria and Weiss [SW94] who transferred the boundedness of singular operators on Lebesgue spaces to the boundedness of these operators in some weighted Lebesgue spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. Basic definitions and preliminaries which are needed later on are collected in Section 2. Duality theory for vector-valued Morrey-type spaces is treated in Section 3. The main results can be found in Theorem 3.1 (preduals of Morrey spaces) and Theorem 3.3 (Morrey spaces as bidual spaces). In final Section 4 we prove our main results concerning the transference of mapping properties of operators satisfying condition (2) to vector-valued Morrey type spaces. The general theorem is

presented in Subsection 4.1 (Theorem 4.3) following the method developed in [RT13] and [RT14]. As a consequence of our main theorem we obtain mapping properties for various classes of operators in vector-valued Morrey-type spaces. Subsection 4.2 is concerned with Calderón-Zygmund operators. Here we present also an alternative approach via weighted spaces (Theorem 4.9). Maximal operators of Hardy-Littlewood and Calderón-Zygmund type as well as related vector-valued inequalities are considered in Subsection 4.3. The final Subsection 4.4 is devoted to some classes of Fourier multipliers such as characteristic functions, smooth multipliers and Bochner-Riesz mulipliers at the critical index.

2 Definitions and Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

We use standard notation. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the collection of all natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\mathbb{R}^n$ be the Euclidean n-space, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^1$. Let $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz space of all complex-valued rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of all tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $D(\mathbb{R}^n) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the collection of all infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where the support of a function f is abbreviated by $\operatorname{supp}(f)$. Moreover, denotes $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the collection of all continuous and Lipschitz continuous, respectively, and bounded complex-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 \le p < \infty$, is the standard complex Banach space with respect to the Lebesgue measure, normed by

$$\|f\,|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \,\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{1/p}.$$

For a measurable subset M of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we similarly define $L_p(M)$. Moreover, $|M|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of M and χ_M for the characteristic function on M. As usual $\mathbb{Z}$ is the collection of all integers; and $\mathbb{Z}^n$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the lattice of all points $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As usual, $L_p^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ collects all equivalence classes of almost everywhere coinciding measurable complex locally p-integrable functions, hence $f \in L_p(M)$ for any bounded measurable set M in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any $p \in (1, \infty)$ we denote by p' the conjugate index, namely, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. For Banach spaces X and Y and

an operator $T : X \to Y$

$$T : X \hookrightarrow Y$$

means, that the operator is bounded, that is,

$$\|Tx|Y\| \le c\,\|x|X\|$$

where the the constant c is independent of $x \in X$. Let $D(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow X$. A bounded operator $\widetilde{T}$ acting in X, hence $\widetilde{T} : X \hookrightarrow X$, is called an extension of T to X if it coincides on $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with T. We denote the Fourier transform of f on $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\hat{f}$ and its inverse by $\check{f}$ where the normalisation of $\hat{f}$ does not matter for our estimates. The concrete value of constants may vary from one formula to the next, but remains the same within one chain of (in)equalities. Finally, $A \cong B$ is an abbreviation that there are two constants c, $C > 0$ such that $cA \le B \le CA$.

2.2 Morrey spaces, duals and preduals

Definition 2.1. For $1 < p < \infty$ and $-\frac{n}{p} \le r < 0$ we define *Morrey spaces* as

$$L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \{f \in L_p^{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ \big\|f|L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\big\| < \infty\}$$

with the norm

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|f|L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\big\| &\equiv \sup_{M\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\sup_{J\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{J\left(\frac{n}{p}+r\right)}\,\|f|L_p(Q_{JM})\| \\ &\cong \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\sup_{R>0} R^{-\left(\frac{n}{p}+r\right)}\,\|f|L_p(B_R(x))\|\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{JM} \equiv Q_{J,M} \equiv 2^{-J}\,(M + [-1,1]^n)$ and $B_R(x)$ denotes the ball with radius R centered at x.
Moreover, $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the closure of $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $\big\|\cdot|L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\big\|$.

Definition 2.2. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \varrho < -n/p$. Then the *predual Morrey spaces* $H^\varrho L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ collects all $h \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}&h = \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{J,M} a_{J,M} \quad \text{in} \quad S'(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{with} \\ &\operatorname{supp} a_{J,M} \subset Q_{J,M}, \qquad \|a_{J,M}\,|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le 2^{-J(\frac{n}{p}+\varrho)},\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

such that

$$\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{J,M}| < \infty. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore,

$$\|h\,|H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \equiv \inf \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{J,M}|$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations (3), (4).

Remark 2.3. The notation of $H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a predual will be justified in the Theorem 3.1. By triangular and Hölder's inequality (3) and (4) ensure that the convergence in (3) is unconditionally in $L_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\varrho u = -n$. In particular it holds $H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have $1 < u < p$ (cf. [RT14, (3.10)]). Let $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, w_\alpha)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and $w_\gamma(x) = (1+|x|^2)^{\gamma/2}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, be the weighted Lebesgue spaces, normed by

$$\|f\,|L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)\| = \|w_\alpha f\,|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \tag{5}$$

Then it holds

$$L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{6}$$

with $\alpha > n/p'$ (cf. [RT14, (3.5)]). Furthermore, $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are dense both in $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are Banach spaces and $L_u(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $u = -n/r$ and $\alpha < -n/p$ (cf. [RT14, Thm. 3.1]). The last embedding as well as (6) can be sharpened cf. (20) below.

Definition 2.4. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-n < \varrho < -n/p$. Let $H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\varepsilon}_F$ be the following subspace of $H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\varepsilon}_F \equiv \{\varphi \in H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, &\text{there exists an } L \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\text{such that } \varphi = \sum_{\substack{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n \\ |J|\le L,|M|\le L}} h_{J,M},\ \operatorname{supp} h_{J,M} \subset Q_{J,M} \text{ and} \\ &\sum_{\substack{|J|\le L \\ |M|\le L}} 2^{J(\frac{n}{p}+\varrho)} \|h_{J,M}\,|L_p(Q_{J,M})\| \le (1+\varepsilon)\|\varphi|H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.5. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-n < \varrho < -n/p$. Then $H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\varepsilon}_F$ is dense in $H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $h \in H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $h = \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{J,M} a_{J,M}$ in $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{J,M}| \le (1+\varepsilon/2)\|h\,|H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$ with $\operatorname{supp} a_{J,M} \subset Q_{J,M}$ and $\|a_{J,M}\,|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le 2^{-J(\frac{n}{p}+\varrho)}$. We define then $h_{J,M} \equiv \lambda_{J,M} a_{J,M}$ for $J \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and obtain

$$\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{J(\frac{n}{p}+\varrho)}\|h_{J,M}\,|L_p(Q_{J,M})\| \le \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{J,M}| \le \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\|h\,|H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

Let

$$h^L = \sum_{|J|\le L,|M|\le L} h_{J,M}, \qquad L \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\|h - h^L\,|H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \to 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad L \to \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{|J|\le L\\ |M|\le L}} 2^{J(\frac{n}{p}+\varrho)}\|h_{J,M}\,|L_p(Q_{J,M})\| \le \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\|h\,|H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le (1+\varepsilon)\|h^L\,|H^{\varrho}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

□

2.3 Vector-valued Morrey spaces

Definition 2.6. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} \le r < 0$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Let $L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the collection of all sequences of functions f_j belonging to $L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\big\|f_j|L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\big\| \equiv \big\|\{f_j\}\,|L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\big\| \equiv \left\|\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|f_j(\cdot)|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\,\middle|\,L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\| < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \Big\{\{f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \in L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\Big|\ \text{there exist } f_j^k \in D(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } f_j^k = 0 \text{ for } j > k \text{ with } \Big\|\{f_j - f_j^k\}_j\Big|\,L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\Big\| \to 0 \quad (k\to\infty)\Big\}.$$

Furthermore, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the space $L_p(\ell_q, w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ using the norm of $L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ instead the norm of $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\alpha = 0$, we simply write $L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 2.7. Then $H^\varrho L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the collection of all sequences of functions g_j belonging to $H^\varrho L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|g_j(\cdot)|\ell_q\|$ is in $H^\varrho L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, $H^\varrho L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)_F^\varepsilon$ stands for the collection of all sequences of functions g_j belonging to $H^\varrho L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|g_j(\cdot)|\ell_q\|$ is in $H^\varrho L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)_F^\varepsilon$.

3 Duals and preduals - the vector-valued case

3.1 Predual spaces

The duality with respect to Morrey spaces is discussed in the scalar case in detail with complete proofs in [RT14]. Here we give complete proofs in the vector-valued case following their approach.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} < r < 0$, $r + \varrho = -n$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Then the predual space of $L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover,*

$$g \in \left(H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)\right)'$$

if, and only if, it can be uniquely represented as

$$g(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} g_j(x) f_j(x) \mathrm{d}x \tag{7}$$

for all $f \equiv \{f_j\} \in L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha > n/p$, where

$$\{g_j\} \in L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \left\|g \left| \left(H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)\right)' \right.\right\| = \left\|g_j | L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\right\|.$$

Moreover, if $\{g_j\} \in L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\left\|g_j | L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\right\| = \sup_f \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} g_j(x) f_j(x) \mathrm{d}x \right| \tag{8}$$

where the supremum is taken over all $f \equiv \{f_j\} \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|f | H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)\| \le 1$.

Proof. Let $g \equiv \{g_j\} \in L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{\tilde{f}_j\} \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\left\| \tilde{f}_j(\cdot) \middle| \ell_{q'} \right\|$ is in $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)_F^\varepsilon$. Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left| g_j(y)\tilde{f}_j(y) \right| \mathrm{d}y \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{g_j(y)\}_j|\ell_q\| \left\| \{\tilde{f}_j(y)\}_j|\ell_{q'} \right\| \mathrm{d}y \\
\le &\sum_{\substack{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n \\ |J|\le L,|M|\le L}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{g_j(y)\}_j|\ell_q\| \, h_{J,M}(y)\mathrm{d}y \\
\le &\sum_{\substack{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n \\ |J|\le L,|M|\le L}} 2^{J(\frac{n}{p}+r)} \, \| \, \|\{g_j(\cdot)\}_j|\ell_q\| \, | \, L_p(Q_{J,M})\| \, 2^{J(\frac{n}{p'}+\varrho)} \, \|h_{J,M}|L_{p'}(Q_{J,M})\| \\
\le &(1+\varepsilon) \left\| g_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \right\| \left\| \tilde{f}_j|H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n) \right\|
\end{aligned}$$

where $\left\| \tilde{f}_j(\cdot) \middle| \ell_{q'} \right\|$ is represented as in Definition 2.4 and $r + \varrho + n = 0$. Therefore the operator T_g given by

$$T_g(\{\tilde{f}_j\}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left| g_j(y)\tilde{f}_j(y) \right| \mathrm{d}y$$

is bounded on $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)_F^\varepsilon$. We get the (unique) continuous extension $T_g : H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by means of Proposition 2.5, where this extension is justified as in the linear case cf. (15) and (17) below. Let $\{f_j\} \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. By Proposition 2.5 there is furthermore a sequence $\{f_j^k\}$ of $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)_F^\varepsilon$ such that $\{f_j^k\}$ tends to $\{f_j\}$ in $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $k \to \infty$. For u such that $\varrho u = -n$ by $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_u(\mathbb{R}^n)$ exists a subsequence such that $\left\| f_j^{k_l}(\cdot) - f_j(\cdot) \middle| \ell_{q'} \right\| \to 0$ almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $l \to \infty$. This implies $f_j^{k_l} \to f_j$ almost everywhere for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if $l \to \infty$. The Lemma of Fatou yields then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} |g_j(y)f_j(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left| g_j(y) \lim_{l\to\infty} f_j^{k_l}(y) \right| \mathrm{d}y \\
\le &\lim_{l\to\infty} T_g(\{f_j^{k_l}\}) = T_g(\{f_j\})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} g_j(y)f_j(y)\mathrm{d}y\right| &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}|g_j(y)f_j(y)|\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &\le \left\|g_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|\,\|f_j|H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)\|\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for $\{g_j\}\in L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{f_j\}\in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, in particular, any $\{g_j\}\in L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ induces a bounded linear functional on $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Conversely, suppose that g is a bounded linear functional on $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the norm $\|g\|$. Taking into account (6) the linear functional g induces a bounded linear functional on $L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},w_\alpha,\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\alpha>n/p$ and therefore we have the representation formula

$$g(\{f_j\})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sum_j g_j(y)f_j(y)\mathrm{d}y \tag{10}$$

for some $\{g_j\}\in L_p(\ell_q,w_{-\alpha},\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for all $\{f_j\}\in L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},w_\alpha,\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\{\tilde f_j\}\in L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},w_\alpha,\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\tilde f_j\subset Q_{J,M}$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\left\|\tilde f_j|H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)\right\| \le 2^{J(\frac{n}{p'}+\varrho)}\left\|\,\|\{\tilde f_j(\cdot)\}_j|\ell_{q'}\|\,\right| L_{p'}(Q_{J,M})\right\|.$$

With $\frac{n}{p'}+\varrho=-\frac{n}{p}-r$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}|g(\{f_j\})| &\le \|g\|\left\|\tilde f_j\right| H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)\right\| \\ &\le \|g\|\,2^{-J(\frac{n}{p}+r)}\left\|\,\|\{\tilde f_j(\cdot)\}_j|\ell_{q'}\|\,\right| L_{p'}(Q_{J,M})\right\|.\end{aligned}$$

Then one has by duality in $L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},Q_{J,M})$ and (10)

$$\|g_j\,|L_p(\ell_q,Q_{J,M})\|\le 2^{-J(\frac{n}{p'}+r)}\|g\|.$$

Hereby, $L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},Q_{J,M})$ is defined similarly as $L_p^r(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)$ using $L_{p'}(Q_{J,M})$ instead of $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note also that an element of $L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},Q_{J,M})$, say $\{\tilde f_j\}$, is also in $L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},w_\alpha,\mathbb{R}^n)$ if one extends $\tilde f_j$, $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$, outside of $Q_{J,M}$ by zero. The last inequality proves $\{g_j\}\in L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\left\|g_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|\le\|g\|.$$

□

3.2 Dual spaces

In the proof of the next theorem, which is a vector-valued extension of [RT14, Thm. 4.1, (4.5)], we benefit from the following general assertion.

Proposition 3.2 (page 73 of [ET96] and Lemma in Section 1.11.1 of [Tri78])**.** Let $\{A_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of complex Banach spaces and $\{A'_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ their respective duals. Moreover, we put

$$c_0(\{A_j\}) \equiv \Big\{ a \equiv \{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \Big| \, a_j \in A_j, \\ \|a|c_0(A_j)\| \equiv \|a|\ell_\infty(A_j)\| \equiv \sup_j \|a_j|A_j\| < \infty, \|a_j|A_j\| \to 0 \Big\},$$

$$\ell_1(\{A'_j\}) \equiv \left\{ a' \equiv \left\{a'_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \Big| \, a'_j \in A'_j, \left\|a'|\ell_1(A'_j)\right\| \equiv \sum_j \left\|a_j|A'_j\right\| < \infty \right\}.$$

Then

$$(c_0(\{A_j\}))' = \ell_1(\{A'_j\}) \text{ with } a'(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a'_j(a_j) \text{ and}$$
$$\|\cdot\,|(c_0(A_j))'\| = \left\|\cdot\,\left|\ell_1(A'_j)\right.\right\|.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} < r < 0$, $r + \varrho = -n$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Then the dual space of $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, $g \in \left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\right)'$ if, and only if, it can be uniquely represented as*

$$g(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} g_j(x) f_j(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

for all $f \equiv \{f_j\} \in L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\{g_j\} \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \left\| g \left| \left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\right)' \right.\right\| = \|g_j|H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

Moreover, if $\{g_j\} \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\|g_j|H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)\| = \sup_f \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} g_j(x) f_j(x) \mathrm{d}x \right| \tag{11}$$

where the supremum is taken over all $f \equiv \{f_j\} \in \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ *with* $\left\| f \left| L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \right. \right\| \le 1$.

Proof. It follows from (9) that any $\{g_j\} \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ induces a bounded linear functional on $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Conversely, suppose g is a bounded linear functional on $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with norm $\|g\|$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \{f_j\} | L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \right\| &= \sup_{J\in\mathbb{Z}, M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \Big(\int_{Q_{J,M}} \Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} |f_j(x)|^q \Big)^{\frac{p}{q}} 2^{J(n+pr)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left\| f^j_{JM} | c_0 \left(L_p(\ell_q, \mu_J, Q_{JM}) \right) \right\|,\end{aligned}$$

where $f^j_{JM} \equiv f_j \chi_{Q_{JM}}$, $\mu_J(\mathrm{d}x) \equiv 2^{J(n+pr)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| f^j_{JM} | c_0 \left(L_p(\ell_q, \mu_J, Q_{JM}) \right) \right\| \\ &\equiv \sup_{J\in\mathbb{Z}, M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \Big(\int_{Q_{JM}} \Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} |f^j_{JM}(x)|^q \Big)^{\frac{p}{q}} 2^{J(n+pr)} \, \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is isomorphic to a closed subspace of $c_0 \left(L_p(\ell_q, \mu_J, Q_{JM}) \right)$ analogously to the scalar-valued case in [RT14, (4.18)-(4.20)]. More precisely, we have a linear, surjective and isometric map $I : \{f_j\} \mapsto \{f^j_{JM}\}$ from $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ onto the closed subspace $\{\{f^j_{JM}\} | \{f_j\} \in \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\}$ of $c_0 \left(L_p(\ell_q, \mu_J, Q_{JM}) \right)$ and

$$I \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{\{f^j_{JM}\} | \{f_j\} \in \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\} \hookrightarrow c_0 \left(L_p(\ell_q, \mu_J, Q_{JM}) \right).$$

Hahn-Banach's theorem yields $g \in \left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \right)'$ if, and only if, $g \in \left(c_0 \left(L_p(\ell_q, \mu_J, Q_{JM}) \right) \right)'$ and by Proposition 3.2 we have the representation

$$g(\{f_j\}) = \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}, M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{Q_{JM}} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} f_j(x) g^j_{JM}(x) 2^{J(n+pr)} \mathrm{d}x \tag{12}$$

for any $\{f_j\} \in \mathring{L}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\{g^j_{JM}\} \in \ell_1\left(L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mu_J, Q_{JM})\right)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\{g^j_{JM}\}|\ell_1\left(L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mu_J, Q_{JM})\right)\right\| \\ &= \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}, M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \Big(\int_{Q_{JM}} \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} |g^j_{JM}(x)|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{p'}{q'}} 2^{J(n+pr)}\,\mathrm{d}x \Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Hahn-Banach's theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| g \,\middle|\, \left(\mathring{L}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)' \right\| = \inf \Big\{ &\left\| g^j_{JM}\,|\ell_1\left(L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mu_J, Q_{JM})\right)\right\| \,\big|\, g(\{f_j\}) = g^j_{JM}(\{f_j\}) \\ &\text{for all } \{f_j\} \in \mathring{L}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } g^j_{JM} \in \ell_1\left(L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mu_J, Q_{JM})\right) \Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we deduce from (12) (cf. (13) for an integrable majorant) the representation

$$g(\{f_j\}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} f_j(x) \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}, M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} g^j_{JM}(x)\chi_{Q_{JM}}(x) 2^{J(n+pr)}\mathrm{d}x$$

for $\{f_j\} \in L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For $h^j_{JM} \equiv g^j_{JM}\chi_{Q_{JM}} 2^{J(n+pr)}$ we obtain

$$\left\|g^j_{JM}|L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mu_J, Q_{JM})\right\| = 2^{-J\left(\frac{n}{p}+r\right)} \left\|h^j_{JM}|L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)\right\| \equiv \lambda_{JM}.$$

Therefore $\{\lambda_{JM}\}_{J,M} \in \ell_1$ and for an appropriate choice of g^j_{JM} we obtain also $\|\lambda|\ell_1\| \le (1+\varepsilon)\,\|g\|$. For a^j_{JM} given by $h^j_{JM} = \lambda_{JM} a^j_{JM}$ we have then $\left\|a^j_{JM}\right| L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)\| \le 2^{J\left(\frac{n}{p}+r\right)}$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(a^j_{JM}\right) \subset Q_{JM}$. Finally, it holds $\left\{\sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}, M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{JM} a^j_{JM}\right\}_j \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\left\{ \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z}, M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} g^j_{JM}\chi_{Q_{JM}} 2^{J(n+pr)} \right\}_j \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Indeed, we have

$$\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left| \sum_{\substack{J\in\mathbb{Z},\\ M\in\mathbb{Z}^n}} \lambda_{JM} a^j_{JM} \right|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \le \sum_{\substack{J\in\mathbb{Z},\\ M\in\mathbb{Z}^n}} \lambda_{JM} \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left|a^j_{JM}\right|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

using $b_{JM} \equiv \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left|a_{JM}^j\right|^{q'}\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}$ with supp $(b_{JM}) \subset Q_{JM}$ and $\|b_{JM}|L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le 2^{J\left(\frac{n}{p}+r\right)} = 2^{-J\left(\frac{n}{p'}+\varrho\right)}$. Finally,

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} g_{JM}^j(x)\chi_{Q_{JM}}(x)2^{J(n+pr)} \right\}_j \middle| H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n) \right\| \le \|\lambda|\ell_1\| \le (1+\varepsilon)\,\|g\|\,.$$

By the same argumentation we obtain also

$$\left\{ \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \left|g_{JM}^j\chi_{Q_{JM}}\right| 2^{J(n+pr)} \right\}_j \in H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{-\frac{n}{\varrho}}(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Together with $\{f_j\} \in L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Hölder's inequality

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} |f_j| \sum_{J\in\mathbb{Z},M\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \left|g_{JM}^j\chi_{Q_{JM}}\right| 2^{J(n+pr)} \tag{13}$$

is an integrable majorant. Moreover, we observe $L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, for $\{f_j\} \in L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a sequence $\{f_j^k\}_j$ tending to $\{f_j\}$ in $L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k\to\infty$ with $f_j^k \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_j^k = 0$ for $j > k$ (and $f_j^k \nearrow f_j$ as $k\to\infty$) which also implies $\{f_j^k\}_j \to \{f_j\}$ in $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k\to\infty$ by $L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

4 Mapping properties of operators

4.1 The main theorem

Next we extend the approach developed in [RT13] and [RT14] to a wider class of operators and to vector-valued spaces.

Proposition 4.1. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} < r < 0$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Then $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the completion of finite sequences of continuous

compactly supported functions. More precisely, it holds

$$\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)=\Big\{\{f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}\in L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\Big|\ \text{there exist } f_j^k\in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ compactly supported for all } j\in\mathbb{N}_0, k\in\mathbb{N} \text{ and } f_j^k=0 \text{ for } j>k \text{ with } \Big\|\big\{f_j-f_j^k\big\}_j\Big|L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\Big\|\to 0\Big\}\equiv\overline{C_0(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot|L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|}.$$

Proof. Let $\{f_j\}\in\overline{C_0(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot|L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists a sequence $\{g_j\}$ with $g_j\in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ compactly supported with $g_j=0$ for $|j|>k$ and some $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\big\|f_j-g_j|L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\big\|<\varepsilon$. Let $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $R>0$. Let $\bar R>1$ such that supp $\sum_{j=0}^k|g_j|^q\subset B_{\bar R-1}(0)$. Let $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y|<1$. Moreover, for $R\geq\bar R$

$$\|g_j(\cdot-y)-g_j(\cdot)|L_p(\ell_q,B_R(x))\|\leq\frac{\varepsilon\bar R^r}{c}\,|B_{\bar R}(0)|^{\frac1p}\leq\varepsilon R^{\frac np+r}$$

whenever

$$\sum_{j=0}^k|g_j(z-y)-g_j(z)|<\frac{\varepsilon\bar R^r}{c}\qquad\text{for all } z\in\mathbb{R}^n \tag{14}$$

which holds by the uniform continuity of g_j, $j=0,\dots,k$, for $|y|<\delta=\delta(\varepsilon,\bar R,r,g_0,\dots,g_k)$ where c is a constant depending on n. Furthermore, for $R<\bar R$ again by (14)

$$\|g_j(\cdot-y)-g_j(\cdot)|L_p(\ell_q,B_R(x))\|\leq\frac{\varepsilon\bar R^r}{c}\,|B_R(x)|^{\frac1p}\leq\varepsilon R^{\frac np+r}$$

Let $\psi\in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with supp $\psi\subset B_1(0)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi(y)\mathrm{d}y=1$, $0\leq\psi\leq1$ and $\psi_l(\cdot)\equiv l^n\psi(l\cdot)$, $l\in\mathbb{N}$. Then it holds $\big\|\{g_j*\psi_l-g_j\}_j|L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\big\|<\varepsilon$ for l sufficient large where $g_j*\psi_l\in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Indeed, by means of Minkowski's inequality and the properties of ψ_l we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\|g_j*\psi_l-g_j|L_p(\ell_q,B_R(x))\|\\ \leq&R^{\frac np+r}\int_{|y|\leq\frac1l}|\psi_l(y)|R^{-\left(\frac np+r\right)}\,\|g_j(\cdot-y)-g_j(\cdot)|L_p(\ell_q,B_R(x))\|\,\mathrm{d}y\leq\varepsilon R^{\frac np+r}\end{aligned}$$

where l is sufficiently large (depending on ε). ∎

Remark 4.2. In the last Proposition we adapted the proof in scalar-valued case $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given in [Zor86, Proposition 3] to the vector-valued situation.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} < r < 0$, $r + \varrho = -n$, $1 < q < \infty$ and let $\{T_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of operators with the following properties:*

(i) $T_j : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and T_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are

(a) either linear or

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} &(T_j(f_1 + f_2))(y) \le (T_j f_1)(y) + (T_j f_2)(y), \\ &(T_j f)(y) = (T_j(-f))(y),\ T_j 0 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for f, f_1, $f_2 \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

(ii) we have

$$|(T_j f)(y)| \le c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(z)|}{|y-z|^n} dz \tag{16}$$

for all $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $y \notin \operatorname{supp}(f)$, where c_1 does not depend on $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, f and y;

(iii) there is a constant c_2 such that

$$\|T_j f_j | L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\| \le c_2 \|f_j | L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\|$$

for all $\{f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \subset D(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then, the following statements hold true.

1. *There are unique continuous and bounded extensions $\widetilde{T}_j$ of T_j to $\mathring{L}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that*

$$\left\{\widetilde{T}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : \mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

2. *If T_j are linear for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then the dual operators of the unique linear and bounded extensions $\widetilde{T}_j$ of T_j to $\mathring{L}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{T}_j{}' : H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, satisfy*

$$\left\{\widetilde{T}_j{}'\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

If the extensions of T_j to $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ due to assumption (iii) *are formally self-adjoint for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\widetilde{T}_j{}'$ are the unique linear and bounded extensions of T_j acting in $H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

3. *If T_j are linear for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then there are linear and bounded extensions $\widetilde{T}_j$ of T_j to $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\left\{\widetilde{T}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. Step 1. We start showing Assertion 1.

At first we will show that $\{T_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : \mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \in \mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f_j \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all j. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R > 0$. We decompose

$$f_j = f_j^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_j^i,$$

where $f_j^0 \equiv \varphi_0 f_j$ and $f_j^i \equiv \varphi_i f_j$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} \subset D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi_0 = 1 \text{ on } B_{2R}(x), \qquad \operatorname{supp}\varphi_0 \subset B_{4R}(x)$$

and

$$\operatorname{supp}\varphi_i \subset B_{2^{i+2}R}(x) \setminus B_{2^iR}(x), \qquad \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} \varphi_i = 1.$$

By means of (iii) we obtain

$$\left(\int_{B_R(x)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left|T_j f_j^0(y)\right|^q\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \mathrm{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le cR^{n\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{r}{n}\right)} \left\|f_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|.$$

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in B_R(x)$. It follows from (16) that

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left|T_j f_j^i(y)\right|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le c(2^{i-1}R)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left|f_j^i(z)\right|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \mathrm{d}z.$$

Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{B_R(x)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|T_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}f_j^i\right)(y)\right|^q\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}\mathrm{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
\leq& c\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{i-1}R\right)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|f_j^i(z)\right|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\mathrm{d}z\ |B_R(x)|^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
\leq& c'\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{i-1}R\right)^{-n}R^{\frac{n}{p}}(2^{i+2}R)^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}(2^{i+2}R)^{\left(\frac{n}{p}+r\right)}\left\|f_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|\\
\leq& c''R^{\left(\frac{n}{p}+r\right)}\left\|f_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By subadditivity of the operators we obtain

$$\left\|T_jf_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|\leq c\left\|f_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|$$

where c does not depend on $\{f_j\}_j$. We get the unique continuous extension $T:\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\hookrightarrow L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\{T_j\}_j$ whenever T_j are linear. If T_j fulfills (15), then we have for f_1, $f_2\in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$

$$|(T_jf_1)(y)-(T_jf_2)(y)|\leq(T_j(f_1-f_2))(y)$$

and hence for $\{f_j\}$, $\{\widetilde{f}_j\}\in\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ with f_j, $\widetilde{f}_j\in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_jf_j-T_j\widetilde{f}_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|&\leq\left\|T_j(f_j-\widetilde{f}_j)|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|\\
&\leq c\left\|f_j-\widetilde{f}_j|L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|.
\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Therefore, $\{T_j\}_j$ is (Lipschitz-)continuous and moreover we get the unique continuous extension $T:\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\hookrightarrow L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\{T_j\}_j$ using (17) in the same way as in the linear case.

Step 2. It remains to justify that also $T:\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)\hookrightarrow\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$. By means of a density argument we may assume that $\{f_j\}_j\in\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f_j\in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and a k such that $f_j=0$ for $|j|>k$. There is an

$\bar{R}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f_j \subset B_{\bar{R}}(0)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} |(T_j f_j)(x)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c\,|x|^{-n} \qquad \text{if} \quad |x| \geq 2\bar{R} \tag{18}$$

using (16) and triangle inequality. Here the constant c depends on $\{f_j\}_j$. Let $R \geq 2\bar{R}$. Then one has for cubes Q_{JM} with $Q_{JM} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > R\}$,

$$2^{J(\frac{n}{p}+r)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} |(T_j f_j)(x)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big| L_p(Q_{JM}) \right\| \leq c\, 2^{Jr}\, R^{-n} \qquad \text{if} \quad J \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Using in addition $1 < p < \infty$ we obtain

$$2^{J(\frac{n}{p}+r)} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} |(T_j f_j)(x)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big| L_p(Q_{JM}) \right\| \leq c\, 2^{J(\frac{n}{p}+r)}\, R^{-n(1-\frac{1}{p})} \text{ if } -J \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\psi_R \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a smooth cut-off function with $\psi_R(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq R$. Then $\psi_R\, T_j f_j \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ compactly supported for $0 \leq j \leq k$ (by triangle inequality using $T_j : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and it follows

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \|\{T_j f_j - \psi_R T_j f_j\}_j | L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\| = 0$$

with $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \frac{n}{p} + r < \frac{n}{p}$. Here one should mention that cubes which are not completely inside of $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > R\}$ are treated analogously and that $T_j f_j = 0$ for $j > k$ by $T_j 0 = 0$. Hence $\{T_j f_j\} \in \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by Proposition 4.1 and therefore $T : \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by the unique extension of $\{T_j\}_j$ to $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Futhermore, we observe that the projection of T to its $k-th$ component ($k \in \mathbb{N}_0$) coincides with $\widetilde{T_k}$ where $\widetilde{T_k} : \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the unique continuous and bounded extension of T_k. This yields Assertion 1.

Step 3. Finally, Assertions 2 and 3 follow by duality (Theorems 3.1 and 3.3). As for the abstract background of duality one may consult [Yos80, pp. 112/113] and [Pie07, pp. 35/36]. We get firstly $\widetilde{T_j}' : H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\left(\widetilde{T_j}'\right)' : L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, by the

linearity of $T = \{\widetilde{T}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ duality also implies $T' : H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)$ as well as $(T')' : L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$. The projection of T' to its $k-th$ component ($k\in\mathbb{N}_0$) coincides with $\widetilde{T_k}'$. Indeed, let $f_j \equiv g_j \equiv 0$ for all $j\neq k$ and let $f_k, g_k \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By means of the definition of T' and $\widetilde{T_k}'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x)(T'(\{g_j\}))_k(x)\mathrm{d}x = \langle\{f_j\}, T'(\{g_j\})\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)\right)}\\
&= \langle T(\{f_j\}),\{g_j\}\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)\right)}\\
&= \left\langle\{\widetilde{T}_j f_j\},\{g_j\}\right\rangle_{\left(L_p(\ell_q,w_\alpha,\mathbb{R}^n),L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},w_{-\alpha},\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\widetilde{T_k}f_k)(x)g_k(x)\mathrm{d}x\\
&= \left\langle\widetilde{T_k}f_k, g_k\right\rangle_{\left(L_p(w_\alpha,\mathbb{R}^n),L_{p'}(w_{-\alpha},\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \left\langle\widetilde{T_k}f_k, g_k\right\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)}\\
&= \left\langle f_k, \widetilde{T_k}' g_k\right\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k(x)(\widetilde{T_k}' g_k)(x)\mathrm{d}x
\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha < -n/p$. Analogously, using $H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{-n/\varrho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we deduce that the projection of $(T')'$ to its $k-th$ component ($k\in\mathbb{N}_0$) coincides with $\left(\widetilde{T_k}'\right)'$ on $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, we assume that the extensions of T_j to $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ due to assumption (iii) are formally self-adjoint for all $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle f, \widetilde{T}_j{}' g\right\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \left\langle\widetilde{T}_j f, g\right\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)}\\
&= \langle T_j f, g\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \langle T_j f, g\rangle_{\left(L_p(w_\alpha,\mathbb{R}^n),L_{p'}(w_{-\alpha},\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} \qquad (19)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(T_j f)(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}x = \langle T_j f, g\rangle_{\left(L_p,L_{p'}\right)} = \langle f, T_j g\rangle_{\left(L_p,L_{p'}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $f,g\in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha < -n/p$. Therefore, $\widetilde{T}_j{}' g = T_j g$ almost everywhere for all $g\in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$ which means that $\widetilde{T}_j{}'$ are extensions of T_j to $H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, the biduals $\widetilde{T}_j{}'' = \left(\widetilde{T}_j{}'\right)'$, $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$, are extensions of T_j

to $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\langle g, \widetilde{T}_j{}'' f\Big\rangle_{\left(H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n),L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \Big\langle \widetilde{T}_j{}' g, f\Big\rangle_{\left(H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n),L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \Big\langle \widetilde{T}_j{}' g, f\Big\rangle_{(L_u,L_{u'})} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\widetilde{T}_j{}' g)(x) f(x) \mathrm{d}x = \Big\langle f, \widetilde{T}_j{}' g\Big\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} \\
&= \Big\langle \widetilde{T}_j f, g\Big\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \langle T_j f, g\rangle_{\left(\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n),H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} = \langle T_j f, g\rangle_{\left(L_p,L_{p'}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u = -n/\varrho$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore, $\widetilde{T}_j{}'' = T_j$ on $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

Remark 4.4. The extension in Part 3 of the theorem is not unique. There exist infinitely many extensions of T_j acting in $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This can be seen following the same arguments as in [RT14, Remark 5.3]. Assumption (iii) can be replaced by

$$T : L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

if $T_j = T$ for all j, T is linear and if q is between 2 and p (including 2 and p) which holds by the fact that the L_p-boundedness of a linear operator implies the $L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness for $q \in [p, 2]$ for $p \leq 2$ and $q \in [2, p]$ for $p > 2$ cf. [Gra04, Corollary 4.5.4].

Remark 4.5. There are a lot of papers dealing with singular integrals in Morrey spaces. However, its well-definedness on the Morrey-type spaces under consideration as well as the norm estimates in these spaces have to be treated with greater care than usually done. On the one-hand one has to investigate how to extend singular integrals to Morrey spaces and on the other hand the estimates (16) are not available in general for functions belonging to Morrey spaces. Let us emphasize that we used (16) just for functions of $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The question if the estimate (16) holds for some singular integrals also for all $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ leads to an investiation of its maximal truncated versions (cf. [Tri14, Prop. 2.25, Rem. 2.26] as well as Sections 4.2.2 and 4.3). Indeed, for these reasons in many papers one can only find the weaker mapping property $T : \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for example [FR93, Nak94], and [DYZ98, GAKS11, Mus12] for operators satisfying (16)). In this sense our results on $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are new (including even the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator), in particular with respect to

their generality. Note that results for Calderón-Zygmund operators in $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (scalar case) have been proved already in [RT13] and [RT14]. Moreover, some results in $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and in $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ seem to be new. The paper [AX12] made the important observation that the bidual of the completion of $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the Morrey norm coincides with the Morrey space itself (cf. (1)) and provided the basis of our investigations. To overcome the above mentioned problems investigating Calderón-Zygmund operators in $L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ they considered Muckenhoupt weighted characterizations of Morrey spaces and their preduals. However, this approach has also some weak points with respect to norm estimates since it does not take into account that the operator norm of classical operators of harmonic analysis (as the Hilbert transform) in Muckenhoupt weighted spaces usually depends on the Muckenhoupt weight.

We want to refer also to a less known forerunner result which can be found in [Alv96]. There a solution for the above mentioned difficulties has been given for some Calderón-Zygmund operators in $H^\varrho L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

4.2 Calderón-Zygmund operators

4.2.1 Duality approach

Definition 4.6. We define *Calderón-Zygmund operators* with homogeneous kernels with degree $-n$ setting,

$$(T^\Omega f)(y) \equiv \text{ p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(z/|z|)}{|z|^n} f(y-z)\mathrm{d}z,$$

where $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Omega \in L_\infty(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})$ with zero integral and $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ denotes the unit sphere.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} \le r < 0$, $-n < \varrho < -\frac{n}{p'}$, $1 < q < \infty$. Then the following statements hold true.*

1. *There are unique linear and bounded extensions of T^Ω to $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and to $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denoted again by T^Ω such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{T^\Omega\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} &: \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \textit{and} \\ \left\{T^\Omega\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} &: H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

2. *There are infinitely many linear and bounded extensions of* T^Ω *to* $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *denoted again by* T^Ω *such that*

$$\left\{T^\Omega\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. We observe that $T^\Omega : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, by the same arguments as in [RT13, proof of Step 2 of Thm. 1.1, p. 8] we get the mapping properties $T^\Omega : W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for Sobolev spaces which lead to the above assertion by means of Sobolev type embeddings. Moreover, for $\Omega \in L_\infty(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})$ it holds $T^\Omega : L_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ by [DRF86]. Now we obtain $T^\Omega : L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\Omega \in L_\infty(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})$ applying Theorem 4.3. Note that the dual of the extension of $T^{\Omega(-\cdot)}$ to $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with T^Ω on $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the same arguments as in (19). □

4.2.2 Alternative approach using some Muckenhoupt weights

The following alternative method due to Triebel [Tri14, Section 2.5.3, Prop. 2.25, Rem. 2.26] yields extensions of Calderón-Zygmund operators which are bounded in $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. He studied the boundedness of T^Ω with $\Omega \in C^1(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})$. Here we generalize his approach to some non-convolution type Calderón-Zygmund operators. At first we observe that Morrey spaces $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuously embedded into some Muckenhoupt weighted L_p-spaces. Recall that $w_\alpha(\cdot) = (1+|\cdot|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, and that $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, w_\alpha)$ be the corresponding weighted L_p-space, normed as in (5).

Proposition 4.8 (Proposition 2.10 in [Tri14])**.** Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} \le r < 0$, $-n < \alpha\, p < -n - rp$. Then it holds

$$L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(w_\alpha,\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{20}$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then (20) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)w_\alpha(x)|^p \mathrm{d}x &\le c\left(\int_{|x|\le 1} |f(x)|^p \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} 2^{j\alpha\, p} \int_{2^j\le|x|\le 2^{j+1}} |f(x)|^p \mathrm{d}x\right)\\ &\le \hat{c}\left(\int_{|x|\le 1} |f(x)|^p \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} 2^{j(\alpha\, p+n+rp)} \left\|f|L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|^p\right)\\ &\le \bar{c}\left\|f|L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\|^p.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.9. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} < r < 0$, $-n < \varrho < -\frac{n}{p'}$. Let T be an operator with domain $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying*

$$\|Tf|L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le c_1 \|f|L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$$

where the constant c_1 is independent of $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(Tf)(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n, |y-z|\ge\varepsilon} K(y,z) f(z) \mathrm{d}z \tag{21}$$

almost everywhere for all $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where the function $K(\cdot,\cdot)$ defined $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(x,x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ satisfies the conditions $|K(x,y)| \le c_2 |x-y|^{-n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x,y) - K(x',y)| &\le c_2 \frac{|x-x'|^\delta}{(|x-y| + |x'-y|)^{n+\delta}}, \\ &\text{whenever } \ 2|x-x'| \le \max(|x-y|, |x'-y|), \\ |K(x,y) - K(x,y')| &\le c_2 \frac{|y-y'|^\delta}{(|x-y| + |x-y'|)^{n+\delta}}, \\ &\text{whenever } \ 2|y-y'| \le \max(|x-y|, |x-y'|). \end{aligned}$$

Then the following statements hold true.

1. *There are linear and bounded extensions of T to $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

2. *There is an unique linear and bounded extension of T to $\overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and to $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. By [Gra09, Cor. 9.4.7] there is an unique linear and bounded extension $\widetilde{T}$ of T to $L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $-n < \alpha\, p < n(p-1)$. Therefore, Proposition 4.8 yields $\widetilde{T} : L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$. We have even

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \left| \int_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n, |y-z|\ge\varepsilon} K(y,z) f(z) \mathrm{d}z \right| : L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

by [Gra09, Thm. 9.4.6]. Together with (21) we see that

$$(\widetilde{T}f)(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n, |y-z|\ge\varepsilon} K(y,z) f(z) \mathrm{d}z$$

almost everywhere for all $f \in L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by [Gra09, Thm. 2.1.14]. Now (16) holds for all $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $y \notin \operatorname{supp} f$. As in Step 1 of the proof

of Theorem 4.3 we obtain $\widetilde{T} : L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that is Assertion 1. In particular, $\widetilde{T} : \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $\alpha = 0$ by [Gra09, Cor. 9.4.7] we have especially

$$\left\| Tf \left| L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right. \right\| \leq c \left\| f \left| L_{-\frac{n}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right. \right\|$$

for all $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where the constant c does not depend on f. Hence, $T : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L_{-n/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Because of the embedding $L_{-n/r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the density of $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $L_{-n/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we even have $T : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, let $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $Tf \in L_{-n/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus there is a sequence of functions of $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which tends to Tf in $L_{-n/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence in $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which shows $Tf \in \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, $\widetilde{T} : \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The adjoint kernel of $K(x, y)$ given by $\overline{K(y, x)}$ also satisfies the required assumptions on the kernel. Hence, its corresponding operator is also bounded in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (cf. [Gra09, Def. 8.1.2]) but its dual coincides by the same arguments as in Step 3 of the proof of Theorem 4.3 with the operator T (with the kernel $K(x, y)$) on $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which implies Assertion 2. □

Remark 4.10. Let us point out that for this method the embedding of $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in some Muckenhoupt weighted space is crucial for extending the domain of the considered Calderón-Zygmund operators to $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall the fact that the Hilbert transform is acting in $L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if, and only if, w_α is a Muckenhoupt weight. Moreover, we needed

$$(\widetilde{T}f)(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n, |y-z| \geq \varepsilon} K(y, z) f(z) \mathrm{d}z$$

almost everywhere for all $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is a rather deep result in comparison to the L_p-boundedness which we require in Theorem 4.3. Finally, let us emphasize again that the extension in Part 1 is by no means unique.

4.3 Vector-valued maximal inequalities and maximal Calderón-Zygmund operators

Definition 4.11. We define *maximal Calderón-Zygmund operators* with homogeneous kernels with degree $-n$ by setting

$$(T_*^\Omega f)(y) \equiv \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|z| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(z/|z|)}{|z|^n} f(y - z) \mathrm{d}z \right|$$

where $f \in \bigcup_{1\le p<\infty} L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Omega \in L_\infty(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})$ with zero integral and $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ denotes the unit sphere. As usual, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M is given by

$$(Mf)(y) \equiv \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{|B_R(y)|} \int_{B_R(y)} |f(z)|\,\mathrm{d}z, \qquad f \in L_1^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Remark 4.12. If $f \in \bigcup_{1\le p<\infty} L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\left| \int_{|z|\ge\varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(z/|z|)}{|z|^n} f(y-z)\mathrm{d}z \right|$$

is bounded for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by Hölder's inequality. Hence $(T_*^\Omega f)(y)$ is well-defined for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, but might be infinite.

Corollary 4.13. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} \le r < 0$, $-n < \varrho < -\frac{n}{p'}$, $1 < q < \infty$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\{M\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : \mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \textit{and} \\ &\{M\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Moreover, if $\Omega \in C^1(\mathcal{S}^{n-1})$, then

$$T_*^\Omega : \mathring{L}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathring{L}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \textit{and} \quad T_*^\Omega : L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{23}$$

Proof. At first we show that $M : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_h(\cdot) \equiv f(\cdot + h)$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By sublinearity of M we obtain

$$Mf_h = M(f_h - f + f) \le M(f_h - f) + Mf \text{ and thus } |Mf_h - Mf| \le M(f_h - f).$$

It follows that

$$|(Mf)(x+h) - (Mf)(x)| = |(Mf_h)(x) - (Mf)(x)| \le [M(f_h - f)](x) \le Lh,$$

where L is the Lipschitz constant of f and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (We even showed $M : \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the arguments due to [Kin97, Remarks 2.2].) A version of Cotlar's inequality leads to the estimate

$$(T_*^\Omega f)(x) \le c([M(|T^\Omega f|)](x) + (Mf)(x))$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (cf. [Duo01, Lemma 5.15]). As above we obtain

$$|(T_*^\Omega f)(x+h) - (T_*^\Omega f)(x)| = |(T_*^\Omega f_h)(x) - (T_*^\Omega f)(x)| \le [T_*^\Omega (f_h - f)](x).$$

Together with $T^\Omega : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (cf. proof of Corollary 4.7) it follows from $M : \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ also that $T_*^\Omega : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, we claim that (16) holds also for M. Indeed, let $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $y \notin \operatorname{supp}(f)$. Then there exists an $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $B_{2^i}(y) \cap \operatorname{supp} f = \emptyset$. Let $f^j \equiv \chi_{B_{2^{j+1}}(y)\setminus B_{2^j}(y)} f$ for $j \ge i$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Mf)(y)| &\le \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{|B_R(y)|} \int_{B_R(y)} |f(z)| \mathrm{d}z \le \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{|B_R(y)|} \int_{B_R(y)} |f^j(z)| \mathrm{d}z \\
&\le \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|B_{2^j}(y)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f^j(z)| \mathrm{d}z \le c \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \int_{B_{2^{j+1}}(y)\setminus B_{2^j}(y)} \frac{|f(z)|}{2^{jn}} \mathrm{d}z \\
&\le c' \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \int_{B_{2^{j+1}}(y)\setminus B_{2^j}(y)} \frac{|f(z)|}{|y-z|^n} \mathrm{d}z = c' \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(z)|}{|y-z|^n} \mathrm{d}z.
\end{aligned}$$

Now Theorem 4.3 implies the existence of unique continuous and bounded extensions of M to $\mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and of T_*^Ω to $\mathring{L}_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since (16) also holds for M and all $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in place of all $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we achieve at (22) as in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 4.3. Moreover, T_*^Ω is also well-defined on $L_p(w_\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if $-n < \alpha\, p < n(p-1)$ by [Gra09, Thm. 9.4.6] (and not only on $\bigcup_{1\le p<\infty} L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$) and hence on $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Proposition 4.8. Thus, (16) also holds for T_*^Ω and all $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in place of all $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This yields (23). □

4.4 Fourier multipliers

4.4.1 Multipliers generated by characteristic and smooth functions

Corollary 4.14. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} \le r < 0$, $-n < \varrho < -\frac{n}{p'}$, $1 < q < \infty$. Let $\{I_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of intervals on the real line, finite or infinite, and let $\{S_j\}_j$ be the sequence of operators defined by*

$$(S_j f)\hat{}\,(\xi) = \chi_{I_j}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad f \in D(\mathbb{R}),\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, let $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\psi(0) = 0$. We define

$$\psi_j(\xi) = \psi(2^{-j}\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{S}_j f)\hat{} = \psi_j \hat{f} \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then the following statements hold true.

1. *There are unique linear and bounded extensions of S_j to $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and to $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denoted again by S_j and satisfying the mapping properties*

$$\{S_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\{S_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R})$$

2. *There are infinitely many linear and bounded extensions of S_j to $L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denoted again by S_j such that*

$$\{S_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}).$$

3. *We have the mapping properties*

$$\left\{\tilde{S}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} : \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n),$$
$$\left\{\tilde{S}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} : H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n),$$

and

$$\left\{\tilde{S}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} : L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)\ .$$

Here we used the notation $\ell_q = \ell_q(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Part 1 and part 2 are consequences of Theorem 4.3 (see also Corollary 4.7). The required $L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness follows from [Duo01, Corollary 8.2]. Alternatively, it suffices the $L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness of the Hilbert transform $(Hf)(y) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|z-y|\geq\varepsilon} \frac{f(z)}{y-z} \mathrm{d}z, f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see e.g. [Gra04, Cor. 4.6.3]) This can be seen using the formula

$$S_j f_j = \frac{i}{2} \left(M_{a_j} H M_{-a_j} f_j - M_{b_j} H M_{-b_j} f_j \right),$$

where $I_j = (a_j, b_j)$ (with the obvious modifications if the interval is unbounded) and where $M_a f(\cdot) \equiv e^{2\pi i a \cdot} f(\cdot)$ ([Duo01, (3.9)]). Now the desired

result follows from Theorem 4.3 (for $n = 1$) taking into account also that the dual of the extension of the multiplier generated by $-I_j$ coincides with S_j on $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the same arguments as for (19).

Moreover, we observe that

$$\left\{\tilde{S}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} : L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \ . \tag{24}$$

This follows, for example, from [Duo01, (8.1), page 158]. The needed Hörmander condition is fulfilled by (26). Indeed, we have

$$\left\| \left\{ |\nabla \Psi_j(x)| \right\}_j \middle| \ell_2 \right\| \leq \frac{c}{|x|^{n+1}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{25}$$

(cf. [Duo01, page 161]). Hölder's inequality yields

$$|\Psi_j(x-y) - \Psi_j(x)| \leq |y| \left(\int_0^1 |(\nabla \Psi_j)(x-ty)|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and furthermore using (25)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{\Psi_j(x-y) - \Psi_j(x)\} |\ell_2\| &\leq |y| \left(\int_0^1 \| |(\nabla \Psi_j)(x-ty)| \, |\ell_2\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \, \frac{|y|}{|x|^{n+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

for $|x| \geq 2|y|$. Using (24) we find $\left\{\tilde{S}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} : \mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathring{L}_p^r(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\left\{\tilde{S}'_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} : H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by means of Theorem 4.3. Here we have to show that in particular assumption (16) is fulfilled. If $\hat{\Psi} \equiv \psi$ and $\Psi_j(\cdot) \equiv 2^{jn}\Psi(2^j\cdot)$, then $\hat{\Psi}_j = \psi_j$ and $\tilde{S}_j f = \Psi_j * f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, $\tilde{S}_j f = \Psi_j * f$ makes sense pointwise for all $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore,

$$\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |\Psi_j * f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)| \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |\Psi_j(x-y)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}y \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} \mathrm{d}y$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(f)$ where $\|\{\Psi_j(\cdot)\} |\ell_2\| \leq c|\cdot|^{-n}$ (cf. [Duo01, page 161]). This implies (16). We note that dual of the

extension of the multiplier of $\psi_j(-\cdot)$ coincides with $\tilde{S}_j$ on $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the same arguments as for (19). Hence,

$$\left\{\tilde{S}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} : H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We obtain

$$\left\{\tilde{S}_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} : L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p^r(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with the same norm estimates as in Theorem 4.3. Hereby we emphasize that the operator $\tilde{S}_j$ is well-defined on $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in particular on $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, (16) holds for $f \in L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in place of $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

Remark 4.15. The vector-valued Fourier multiplier assertion proved Corollary 4.14 paves the way to introduce predual Morrey versions $H^{\varrho}A^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $A^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular it implies the independence of admitted resolutions of unity. One replaces the $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$-norm in the definition of $A^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the $H^{\varrho}L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$-norm in order to define $H^{\varrho}A^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The vector-valued Fourier multiplier assertion in Corollary 4.14 is also the key ingredient to obtain as in [Tri83, Section 2.3.3] the density of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $H^{\varrho}A^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover as in [Tri83, Section 2.11.2] one can show using our vector-valued duality assertions (Theorem 3.1 and 3.3) also that the dual of $H^{\varrho}A^{-s}_{p',q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $L^rA^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and furthermore that the dual of the completion of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to $L^rA^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $H^{\varrho}A^{-s}_{p',q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $L^rA^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ stands for the morreyfied versions of $A^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which are defined by replacing the $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$-norm in the definition of $A^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the $L_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$-norm.

Moreover, in the one-dimensional case ($n = 1$) Corollary 4.14 implies also Lizorkin representations of the Triebel-Lizorkin-Morrey spaces $L^rA^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. [Tri83, Section 2.5.4]) using in addition Nikol'skij inequalities for Morrey spaces published in [Ros13, Thm. 2.2.9, Thm. 2.2.20]. We want to mention that the spaces $L^rA^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are studied, in particular, in [YSY10, HS12, Ros12, Tri13, Ros13]).

4.4.2 Strongly singular integrals

Definition 4.16. Let $0 < b < 1$ and let φ be a smooth cut-off function with $\varphi = 1$ on $\{|\xi| \geq 1\}$ and $\varphi = 0$ on $\{|\xi| \leq 1/2\}$. If $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we define *strongly singular integrals* as

$$(T_bf)(x) \equiv \int_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{i|\xi|^b}}{|\xi|^{nb/2}}\varphi(|\xi|)\hat{f}(\xi)e^{2\pi ix\xi}\mathrm{d}\xi$$

(cf. [SW94, p. 192]).

Corollary 4.17. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{n}{p} \le r < 0$, $-n < \varrho < -\frac{n}{p'}$ and let $q \in [p,2]$ for $p \le 2$ and $q \in [2,p]$ for $p > 2$. Then the following statements hold true.*

1. *There are unique linear and bounded extensions of T_b to $\overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and to $H^\varrho L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denoted again by T_b and satisfying*

$$\{T_b\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\{T_b\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^\varrho L_{p'}(\ell_{q'},\mathbb{R}^n)$$

2. *There are infinitely many linear and bounded extensions of T_b to $L^r_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denoted again by T_b such that*

$$\{T_b\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^r_p(\ell_q,\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. T_b satisfies (16) by [SW94, p. 192], see also [Duo01, Chapt. 5, Sect. 6.8]. The strongly singular integrals T_b are bounded on $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, by [Duo01, Section 6.8] and the references given there. Moreover, $T_b : W^k_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^k_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ using the lift operator (which is the Fourier multiplier corresponding to $(1+|\cdot|^2)^{\sigma/2}$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$) and, in particular, it follows that $T_b f \in C^\infty$ for $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by well-known Sobolev embeddings. Having in mind Remark 4.4 we obtain the assertion by Theorem 4.3. □

4.4.3 Bochner-Riesz multipliers

Definition 4.18. Let $\lambda > 0$ and let $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define *Bochner-Riesz multipliers* as

$$(B^\lambda f)(x) \equiv \int_{|\xi|\le 1} (1-|\xi|^2)^\lambda \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x\xi} \mathrm{d}\xi \ .$$

It is well-known that $B^\lambda f$ can be reformulated as

$$(B^\lambda f)(x) = c \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|x-y|\ge\varepsilon} \frac{J_{n/2+\lambda}(2\pi|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n/2+\lambda}} f(y)\mathrm{d}y \tag{27}$$

where J_α stands for the Bessel function (cf. [Duo01, Lemma 8.18] or [Gra04, (10.2.1)]).

Corollary 4.19. *If $\lambda \geq (n-1)/2$ then the statements of Corollary* 4.17 *hold with B^λ in place of T_b.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = (n-1)/2$ be the critical index. Using (27) as well as the estimate $J_{n/2+\lambda}(|x|) \leq c|x|^{-1/2}$ (see e.g. [Gra04, Appendix B.6]) we see that B^λ satisfies (16). The L_p-boundedness of B^λ at the critical index for $1 < p < \infty$ is known (cf. [Duo01, Thm 8.15]). We also have $B^\lambda : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the same arguments as in [RT13, proof of Step 2 of Thm. 1.1, p. 8] taking into account the convolution structure of B^λ. Thus the assertion for $\lambda = (n-1)/2$ is a consequence of Theorem 4.3. Let $\lambda > (n-1)/2$. Then $|(B^\lambda f)|$ can be dominated pointwise by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function Mf for $f \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (cf. [Gra04, Exercise 10.2.8]). Hence,

$$\left\| B^\lambda f_j | L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \right\| \leq c \left\| f_j | L^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \right\|$$

where c does not depend on $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \in \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f_j \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all j. As above we have $B^\lambda : D(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathrm{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we find an unique extension of B^λ denoted again as B^λ such that

$$B^\lambda : \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \overset{\circ}{L}{}^r_p(\ell_q, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hereby, we mention that the constant in (18) is allowed to depend on the fixed sequence of functions. The proof of the remaing parts of the corollary for $\lambda > (n-1)/2$ follows the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. □

References

[AX04] Adams, D. R. and Xiao , J.: Nonlinear potential analysis on Morrey spaces and their capacities. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53**: No.6, 1629-1663 (2004).

[AX12] Adams, D. R. and Xiao , J.: Morrey spaces in harmonic analysis. Ark. Mat. **50**, 201-230 (2012).

[Alv96] J. Alvarez. Continuity of Calderón-Zygmund type operators on the predual of a Morrey space. In: Clifford algebras in analysis and related topics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996, 309-319.

[FR93] Di Fazio, G. and Ragusa, M.: Interior estimates in Morrey spaces for strong solutions to nondivergence form equations with discontinuous coefficients. J. Funct. Anal. **112**, 241-256 (1993).

[DYZ98] Ding, Y.; Yang, D.; Zhou, Z.: Boundedness of sublinear operators and commutators on Morrey spaces. Yokohama Math. J. **46**, No.1, 15-27 (1998).

[DRF86] Duoandikoetxea, J. and Rubio de Francia, J. L.: Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates. Invent. Math. **84**, 541-561 (1986).

[Duo01] Duoandikoetxea, J.: Fourier analysis. Transl. from the Spanish and revised by David Cruz-Uribe. (English) Graduate Studies in Mathematics. **29**. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (2001).

[ET96] Edmunds, D. E. and Triebel, H.: Function spaces, entropy numbers, differential operators. Paperback reprint of the hardback edition 1996. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **120**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[GM13] Gogatishvili, A. and Mustafayev, R.Ch.: New pre-dual space of Morrey space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **397**, No. 2 (2013), 678-692.

[Gra04] Grafakos, L.: Classical and modern Fourier analysis. Upper Saddle River, NJ : Pearson/Prentice Hall. (2004).

[Gra09] Grafakos, L.: Modern Fourier analysis. 2nd ed. Grad. Texts in Math. **250**. Springer, New York 2009.

[GAKS11] Guliyev, V. S.; Aliyev, S. S.; Karaman, T.; Shukurov, P. S.: Boundedness of Sublinear Operators and Commutators on Generalized Morrey Spaces. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **71**, 327-355 (2011).

[HS12] Haroske, D. D. and Skrzypczak, L.: Continuous embeddings of Besov-Morrey function spaces. Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser. **28**, No. 7, 1307-1328 (2012).

[Kal98] Kalita, E.A.: Dual Morrey spaces. Dokl. Akad. Nauk **361** (1998), 447-449 (Russian); Engl. transl.: Dokl. Math. **58** (1998), 85-87.

[Kin97] Kinnunen, J.: The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of a Sobolev function. Isr. J. Math. **100**, 117-124 (1997).

[Mus12] Mustafayev, Rza Ch.: On boundedness of sublinear operators in weighted Morrey spaces. Azerb. J. of Math. **2**, 66-79 (2012).

[Nak94] Nakai, E.: Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces. Math. Nachr. **166**, 95-103 (1994).

[NS14] Nakai, E. and Sobukawa, T.: B^u_w-function spaces and their interpolation. arXiv:1410.6327, p. 43, (2014).

[Pie07] Pietsch, A.: History of Banach spaces and linear operators. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2007.

[Ros12] Rosenthal, M.: Local means, wavelet bases and wavelet isomorphisms in Besov-Morrey and Triebel-Lizorkin-Morrey spaces. Mathematische Nachrichten. **286**, No. 1, 59-87 (2013).

[Ros13] Rosenthal, M.: Mapping properties of operators in Morrey spaces and wavelet isomorphisms in related Morrey smoothness spaces. PhD-Thesis, Jena, 2013.

[RT13] Rosenthal, M. and Triebel, H.: Calderón-Zygmund operators in Morrey spaces. Rev. Mat. Comp. **27**, 1-11 (2013).

[RT14] Rosenthal, M. and Triebel, H.: Morrey spaces, their duals and preduals. Rev. Mat. Complut. DOI 10.1007/s13163-013-0145-z, p. 30 (2014).

[SW94] Soria, F. and Weiss, G.: A remark on singular integrals and power weights. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43**, No.1, 187-204 (1994).

[Tri78] Triebel, H.: Interpolation theory. Function spaces. Differential operators. Deutscher Verlag des Wissenschaften, Berlin 1978.

[Tri83] Triebel, H.: Theory of Function Spaces. Birkhäuser, Basel 1983.

[Tri13] Triebel, H.: Local function spaces, heat and Navier-Stokes equations. Zürich: European Mathematical Society, 2013.

[Tri14] Triebel, H.: Hybrid function spaces, heat and Navier-Stokes equations. Zürich: European Mathematical Society, submitted.

[Yos80] Yosida, K.: Functional analysis, 6th edition. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[YSY10] Yuan, W.; Sickel, W.; Yang, D.: Morrey and Campanato meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel. Springer, Heidelberg 2010.

[Zor86] Zorko, C.T.: Morrey spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **98**, 586-592 (1986).